

Pilot Study for CDFW

ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

SANTA ROSA WORKSHOP 27 July 2017

Jameal Samhouri, NOAA NWFSC, Seattle, WA

Why risk assessment?

- Develop a transparent framework for ranking the *relative* risk posed by fisheries for the ecosystem
- Incorporate flexibility to accommodate variable data quality, consider different characterizations of risk, etc.
- Screening tool to identify potential concerns
 → more about avoiding false negatives than
 asserting true positives

Ecosystem risk assessment framework



Exposure



Focus on Habitat, Bycatch, and Target groups



Quantifying risk



2 axes of information

- 1. the *exposure* of a subject to a pressure
- 2. the *sensitivity* of the subject to the pressure, if exposed

Horness et al. 1998

Sustainability of fishery bycatch: a process for assessing highly diverse and numerous bycatch

ILONA STOBUTZKI*, MARGARET MILLER AND DAVID BREWER



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fishres

Evaluating impacts of fishing on benthic habitats: A risk assessment framework applied to Australian fisheries

A. Williams*, J. Dowdnev. A.D.M. Smith. A.I. Hobdav. M. Fuller Environmental Conservation 40 (4): 329–344 © Foundation for Environmental Conservation 2013. This is a work of the Wealth from Oceans Flagship, CSIRO M U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

> Evaluating sustainability of fisheries bycatch mortality for marine megafauna: a review of conservation reference points for data-limited populations

J. E. MOORE^{1*}, K. A. CURTIS², R. L. LEWISON³, P. W. DILLINGHAM⁴, J. M. COPE⁵, S. V. FORDHAM⁶, S. S. HEPI G. N. TU(Linking land, and sea-based activiti

Linking land- and sea-based activities to risk in coastal ecosystems

Jameal F. Samhouri*, Phillip S. Levin



Environ. Res. Lett. 9 (2014) 114016 (11pp)

doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114016

Assessing habitat risk from human activities to inform coastal and marine spatial planning: a demonstration in Belize



No Concern Serious Concern

Target Species











Pilot study includes 5 Target Species

- 1. California Halibut
- 2. Kelp Bass
- 3. Pacific Herring
- 4. Spiny Lobster
- 5. White Sturgeon





Pilot study includes 9 Target Fisheries

- 1. White Sturgeon-Sport-H&L
- 2. Kelp Bass-Sport-H&L
- 3. California Halibut-Sport-H&L
- 4. California Halibut-Commercial-Trawl
- 5. California Halibut-Commercial-Gillnet
- 6. California Halibut-Commercial-H&L
- 7. Pacific Herring-Commercial-Gillnet
- 8. Spiny Lobster-Sport-Hoop Net
- 9. Spiny Lobster-Commercial-Trap

Target Attributes

Axis	Attribute
Exposure	Management Effectiveness & Current stock status
Exposure	MPA Coverage
Exposure	Morphology affecting capture
Exposure	Spatial intensity
Exposure	Temporal intensity
Exposure	Value of exploited species
Sensitivity	Age at maturity
Sensitivity	Behavioral response
Sensitivity	Fecundity
Sensitivity	Breeding Strategy
Sensitivity	Fishing Mortality
Sensitivity	Population connectivity

Sensitivity Attributes	Attribute description	High (4)	3	2	Low (1)
Fecundity	Fecundity - the population-wide average number of offspring produced by a female each year	<10	10-100	100-1,000	>1,000

Relative Risk Among Target Fisheries



Relative Risk Among Target Species



Bycatch

Working Definition: Catch that is returned to the water



We considered 10 Bycatch Groups

- 1. Marine Mammals
- 2. Marine Birds
- 3. Threatened or Endangered species or overfished rockfish
- 4. Elasmobranchs
- 5. Salmonids
- 6. Flatfish
- 7. Other Rockfish
- 8. Other Pelagic Finfish
- 9. Other Non-Pelagic Finfish
- 10. Other Marine Invertebrates





Bycatch Considerations

- For any guild in which bycatch is significant (> 1% of total catch), we use the most common species within that guild and score it as appropriate.
- 2. Sub and supralegals are included in bycatch

Bycatch Considerations

- 3. For the guilds of Marine Mammals, Marine Birds, and T&E Finfish/Overfished Rockfish, if there is any bycatch of these guilds, we score the most common species within the guild, regardless of how small the number of bycatch species.
- For the other seven guilds, if all species within the guild have what we consider to be non-significant* bycatch, we score that guild all zeroes.

Bycatch Attributes

Axis	Attribute		
Exposure	Magnitude		
Exposure	Management Effectiveness		
Exposure	MPA Coverage		
Exposure	Spatial Intensity		
Exposure	Temporal Intensity		
Exposure	Current Status		
Sensitivity	Age at Maturity		
Sensitivity	Behavioral Response		
Sensitivity	Fecundity		
Sensitivity	Release Mortality		
Sensitivity	Population Connectivity		
Sensitivity	Breeding Strategy		

Evaluating Relative Risk

Revised scores

Cumulative Risk - Sums scores for Bycatch groups because not all groups may be impacted by the fishery (0 values).

. Weighting - To emphasize certain attributes over others.

- Exposure: 50% to magnitude
- Sensitivity: 50% to release mortality

Protected groups - Highlighting fisheries that interact with protected groups (mammals, birds, threatened species)

Relative Risk to Bycatch Among Target Fisheries Weightings for Magnitude and Mortality



Comparison of methods for evaluating cumulative risk to bycatch

Unweighted

Weighted



Relative Risk to Bycatch Among Target Species Weightings for Magnitude and Mortality



White sturgeon, California halibut, kelp bass may pose greatest risk to bycatch

Why is bycatch risk high for these 3?

Several bycatch (5+ bycatch guilds in each fishery)

White sturgeon \rightarrow oversized white sturgeon, green sturgeon, elasmobranchs

California halibut \rightarrow most bycatch groups (7)

Kelp bass \rightarrow birds, sublegal kelp bass







Habitat

We considered 10 Habitat Groups

- 1. Habitat-forming marine vegetation
- 2. Habitat-forming marine invertebrates
- 3. Estuaries
- 4. Nearshore hard bottom
- 5. Nearshore soft bottom
- 6. Offshore hard bottom
- 7. Offshore soft bottom
- 8. Pelagic
- 9. Soft bottom intertidal
- 10. Hard bottom intertidal

Habitat Attributes

Axis	Attribute	
Exposure	Intensity	
Exposure	Management Effectiveness	
Exposure	MPA Coverage	
Exposure	Spatial Overlap	
Exposure	Temporal closures	
Exposure	Gear Footprint	
Sensitivity	Damage to Habitat	
Sensitivity	Current Status	
Sensitivity	Population Connectivity	
Sensitivity	Recovery Time	

Evaluating Relative Risk to Habitats

- Revised scores
- Gear footprint exposure attribute vs. multiplier
- Attribute weightings
 - -Exposure: 50% to gear footprint
 - -Sensitivity: 50% to damage
- Weighting of habitat groups —Based on CDFW assignments
- Highlighting the number of habitat groups that each fishery interacts with

Relative Risk to Habitat Among Target Fisheries Weighted Attributes, Gear Footprint \rightarrow Exposure



Comparison of methods for evaluating risk to habitats

Weighted, gear footprint → exposure

Unweighted, gear multiplier



Relative Risk to Habitat Among Target Fisheries Weighted Attributes, Gear Footprint \rightarrow Exposure



California Halibut and Spiny Lobster may pose greatest risk to Habitats

Why is habitat risk high for these groups?

California halibut trawl and gillnet \rightarrow highly influential gear footprint and damage attributes

Spiny lobster→ Inverts, Hard and soft bottom nearshore, Vegetation

Pacific herring? Highly influential gear multiplier







Preliminary Conclusions

- Target greatest for white sturgeon, least for Pacific herring.
- Bycatch greatest for white sturgeon and Pacific halibut commercial gillnet.
- Habitat greatest for California halibut and spiny lobster.





The project is ongoing and will incorporate input from today's workshop











The End...for now

The second second

And in case

Sauth State Barth

AND I LAND

Color Sector Sector

65